2001-03 Performance Progress Report For Quarter Ending 6/30/2002

Agency 100

Office of Attorney General

Mission

It is the mission of the Attorney General's Office to provide professional, ethical, and independent legal services to the state of Washington and its citizens, promoting respect for and access to the justice system ensuring the fair and open exercise of government, and advancing the public interest.

Goal

To provide legal services in a manner that effectively manages workload and aids in the administration of the justice system.

Performance Measure

Consumer dollars recovered per Attorney General's Office dollar spent.

* Consumer dollars recovered through Consumer Protection Program divided by total cost to run Consumer Protection Program.

	Fiscal Year 2002 —							
Efficiency Estimate	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4 \$2.27	Quarter 5	Quarter 6	Quarter 7	Quarter 8 \$2.33
Actual				.16				
Date Measured								

Goal

Exercise leadership on major legal and policy issues affecting government, the judicial system, the interest of the public, and the legal profession.

Performance Measure

Number of processing days per formal opinion.*

* Days to process formal opinion requests divided by number of formal opinions issued.

	Fiscal Year 2002 ————				———— Fiscal Year 2003 —————			
Outcome	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Quarter 5	Quarter 6	Quarter 7	Quarter 8
Estimate				133				135
Actual				176				

Date Measured

Performance Measure

Number of processing days per informal opinion.*

* Days to process informal opinion requests divided by number of informal opinions issued.

	Fiscal Year 2002 ————				———— Fiscal Year 2003 —————			
Outcome	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Quarter 5	Quarter 6	Quarter 7	Quarter 8
Estimate				70				72
Actual				82				

82

Date Measured

Page: 1

2001-03 Performance Progress Report For Quarter Ending 6/30/2002

Agency 100

Date Measured

Office of Attorney General

For (claim against the State in which the State is named as a defendant) appeal litigation success rate.									
* Number of tort ap	ppeal cases closed	l in State's favor o	livided by number	of tort appeal ca	ses closed.				
Fiscal Year 2002					Fiscal Year 2003 —				
Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Quarter 5	Quarter 6	Quarter 7	Quarter 8		
			95%				95%		
			86%						
	* Number of tort ap	* Number of tort appeal cases closed	* Number of tort appeal cases closed in State's favor of Fiscal Year 2002	* Number of tort appeal cases closed in State's favor divided by number ———————————————————————————————————	* Number of tort appeal cases closed in State's favor divided by number of tort appeal cases. ——————————————————————————————————	* Number of tort appeal cases closed in State's favor divided by number of tort appeal cases closed. ———————————————————————————————————	* Number of tort appeal cases closed in State's favor divided by number of tort appeal cases closed. ———————————————————————————————————		

Page: 2